U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
RECEIVED - LAKE CHARLES

SEP 2 9 2008

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ROBERT H. SHE FOLELL, CLERK

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

## LAKE CHARLES DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : DOCKET NO. 2:05 CR 20137

VS. : JUDGE MINALDI

REGINALD STEVENS : MAGISTRATE JUDGE KAY

## **MEMORANDUM ORDER**

Presently before the court is the defendant's Motion for Sentencing Transcripts. The defendant asserts that he needs his sentencing transcripts in order to prepare a §2255 motion.

28 U.S.C. § 753(f) allows a court to order *transcripts* of a criminal proceeding where the § 2255 motion is not frivolous and the transcripts are needed to decide an issue presented in the motion, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 753(f). Stevens has failed to make any showing that his contemplated § 2255 petition would not be frivolous, or that the transcripts are needed to decide issues presented in any such § 2255 petition.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the defendant's Motion for Sentencing Transcripts IS DENIED.

Lake Charles, Louisiana, this day of September, 2008

PATRICIA MINALDI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States v. MacCollom, 426 U.S. 317, 326-27, 96 S.Ct. 2086, 48 L.Ed.2d 666 (1976) (rejecting argument that denial of request for transcripts prevents individual from filing § 2255 motion where individual made no showing that the transcripts were necessary); Crossley v. United States, 538 F.2d 508, 509 (2d Cir.1976) ("naked allegation" of need for transcripts to prepare for § 2255 motion was insufficient).